

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/955,623

Applicant(s)

FLAHERTY, J.
CHRISTOPHER

Examiner

Michael J Hayes

Art Unit

3763

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael J Hayes.

(3) _____.

(2) Mark Lappin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 02 April 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: choi

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant pointed out that his lead screw of the claimed invention is directly coupled to at least one end wall of the reservoir, and that this attribute is not present in the cited prior art. Examiner feels this appears to distinguish over Choi.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

W J Hayes
Examiner's signature, if required